

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/018,755	04/30/2002	Rainer Perthold	33420.00.0001	4461
7590 06/14/2006			EXAMINER	
Vedder Price Kaufman & Kammholz			ETTEHADIEH, ASLAN	
Suite 2600 222 N LaSalle Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Chicago, IL 60601			2611	
			DATE MAILED: 06/14/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/018,755	PERTHOLD ET AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Aslan Ettehadieh	2611			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 05 June 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.			
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing</li> </ol>	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
b) The period for reply expires	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 stension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as		
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complifing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	onsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause		
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beappeal; and/or</li> </ul>	ow); tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).		
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a</li> </ul>		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the		
non-allowable claim(s).	illowable if subtritted iff a separate,	unlery med amending	ant cariceling the		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-17. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wi vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an o	explanation of		
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	ned.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

13. Other: \_\_\_\_.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the newly added matter requires further search and consideration, i.e. 112 1st and 2nd .

MOHAMMED GRAYOUR
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER